Published by Nigerian Society of Physical Sciences. Hosted by FLAYOO Publishing House LTD

Recent Advances in Natural Sciences

Journal Homepage: https://flayoophl.com/journals/index.php/rans

Solving hyperchaotic initial value problems using second derivative extended trapezoidal rule of the second kind blended with generalized backward differentiation formulae with variable step size

## E. J. Onoja\*, U. W. Sirisena

Department of Mathematics, University of Jos. P.M.B 2084, Jos-Plateau State, Nigeria.

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 05 March 2024 Received in revised form: 24 April 2024 Accepted: 01 August 2024 Available online: 24 August 2024

*Keywords:* SDBETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF, Variable stepsize, Hyperchaotic IVPs

DOI:10.61298/rans.2024.2.2.86

### ABSTRACT

This paper is aimed at finding the accuracy and performance of the second derivative block extended trapezoidal rule of the second kind blended with generalized backward differentiation formulae with variable step sizes for solving hyperchaotic initial value problems. Hyperchaotic systems are characterized by fast changing solutions that are sensitive to changes in the initial condition. Most of the existing numerical methods solve hyperchaotic systems by linearizing the systems before solving them, also solving in a sequence of multiple sub-intervals and later joining the resulting solutions at the end of the interval. These can introduce errors in the solutions because of the many sub-intervals. The second derivative block extended trapezoidal rule of the second kind blended with generalized backward differentiation formulae is a highly accurate method that can solve hyperchaotic systems without having to subdivide the interval of integration and can solve the system directly without linearization. The derivation of the continuous formulations of the method is carried out through a multistep inversion technique by blending two linear multistep methods. The discrete schemes were deduced from their respective continuous interpolants. The convergence analyses of these discrete schemes were discussed. The proposed block methods are found to be efficient and accurate, making them suitable for solving hyperchaotic and other nonlinear ordinary differential equations.

© 2024 The Author(s). Production and Hosting by FLAYOO Publishing House LTD on behalf of the Nigerian Society of Physical Sciences (NSPS). Peer review under the responsibility of NSPS. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Hyperchaotic systems are chaotic systems that have complex nonlinear dynamics which are also sensitive to initial conditions. Lyapunov exponent measures the rate of divergence or conver-

e-mail: Janet4christ35@gmail.com(E.J.Onoja)

gence along one axe in the phase space. A positive Lyapunov exponent indicates chaotic system. A hyperchaotic system is a chaotic system with at least two positive Lyapunov exponents. Rosseler was the first to introduce hyperchaotic ordinary differential equations (ODEs) in his work on chemical reactions Ref. [1]. Since then, there have been many new hyperchaotic systems, like the Qi system, the Chen system, the Chua system, and so on. Hyperchaotic systems have a complex nonlinear nature;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author Tel. No.: +234-803-9401-938.

they have high capacity, security, and efficiency, and they have applications in several areas, including electronic oscillators, encryption, and secure communication. The most commonly used method for solving hyperchaotic systems is analytical methods Refs. [2, 3], which involve linearization of the differential equations with slow convergence. Also, the semi-analytical method involves splitting large intervals into smaller sub-intervals, solving hyperchaotic systems on a sequence of intervals and joining the resulting solutions at the end of the interval. This form introduces errors and is time-consuming because of the many smaller intervals. Furthermore, Refs. [4, 5] used the semi-analytical methods, which are based on the spectral method, to solve hyperchaotic systems. This paper is concerned with constructing second derivative block extended trapezoidal rule of the second kind blended with the backward differentiation formulae with better accuracy and stability properties. Consider hyperchaotic system of the initial value problem, defined as:

$$y'(x) = f(x, y(x)), y(x_0) = y_0,$$
 (1)

on the interval  $I = [x_0, x_N]$ , where  $y : [x_0, x_N] \rightarrow \Re^m$  and  $f : [x_0, x_N] \rightarrow \Re^m \times \Re^m$  is continuous, and differentiable. This research is concerned with finding the numerical solutions of equation (1) using second derivative methods. The first section has the introduction, and the second section is the derivation techniques. The third section includes the convergence and stability analysis of the methods, while the last section tests the robustness of these new methods by solving some real-life hyperchaotic ODEs.

## 1.1. THEOREM (EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS)

Let f(x, y) be defined and continuous for all points (x, y) in an open region of two-dimensional real Euclidean space D, defined by  $a \le x \le b, -\infty < y < \infty$ , a and b finite, and let there exist a constant *L* such that, for every (x, y) and  $(x, y^*)$  are both in *D*,  $|f(x, y) - f(x, y^*)| \le L|y - y^*|$ . Then, if  $y_0$  is any given number, there exist a unique solution y(x) of the IVP Eq. (1), where y(x) is continuous and differentiable for all (x, y) in D.

#### 2. DERIVATION TECHNIQUES

## 2.1. THE SECOND DERIVATIVE MULTISTEP COLLOCATION METHOD

The method carried described in Ref. [6], will be used in this derivation, to construct a k-step second derivative multistep collocation method as:

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \alpha_j(x) y_{n+j} + h_n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \beta_j(x) f_{n+j} + h_n^2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \lambda_j(x) y_{n+j}^{\prime\prime},$$
(2)

where  $h_n$  is the variable step size,  $\alpha_j(x)$ ,  $\beta_j(x)$ , and  $\lambda_j(x)$  are the continuous coefficients of the method defined as;

$$\alpha_j(\overline{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{t+m-1} \alpha_{j,i+1} x^i, j \in \{0, ..., t-1\}$$

$$\beta_j(\overline{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{t+m-1} \beta_{j,i+1} x^i, j \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$$

Table 1. Comparison between SDETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF k = 3, 5 and MSRM using Problem 1 with  $h=10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-5}$ .

	t	SDETR <sub>2</sub> s with	SDETR <sub>2</sub> s with	MSRM
	-	GBDF $k=3$	GBDF $k=5$	
v1(t	)			
	2	-2.91138	-2.91138	-2.91138
	4	-3.63001	-3.63001	-3.63001
	6	2.80571	2.80571	2.80571
	8	0.01134	0.01134	0.01134
	10	-0.80219	-0.80219	-0.80219
y2(t	)			
	2	21.73155	21.73155	21.73155
	4	6.52144	6.52144	6.52144
	6	-2.77638	-2.77638	-2.77638
	8	2.09585	2.09585	2.09585
	10	16.48559	16.48559	16.48559
y3(t	)			
	2	-3.24491	-3.24491	-3.24491
	4	-6.30881	-6.30881	-6.30881
	6	-2.37099	-2.37099	-2.37099
	8	-0.14880	-0.14880	-0.14880
	10	-0.06690	-0.06690	-0.06690
y4(t	)			
	2	23.96851	23.96851	23.96851
	4	11.30830	11.30830	11.30830
	6	4.65208	4.65208	4.65208
	8	-4.99685	-4.99685	-4.99685
	10	1.98179	1.98179	1.98179
y5(t	)			
	2	44.32071	44.32071	44.32071
	4	14.68007	14.68007	14.68007
	6	39.34559	39.34559	39.34559
	8	33.79560	33.79560	33.79560
	10	50.59739	50.59739	50.59739
y6(t	)			
	2	26.54682	26.54682	26.54682
	4	3.25221	3.25221	3.25221
	6	12.99055	12.99055	12.99055
	8	8.02232	8.02232	8.02232
	10	24.48234	24.48234	24.48234

$$\lambda_j(\overline{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{t+m-1} \lambda_{j,i+1} x^i, j \in \{0, ..., m-1\}.$$
 (3)

To determine the continuous coefficients  $\alpha_j(x)$ ,  $\beta_j(x)$ , and  $\lambda_j(x)$  the following conditions are imposed:

$$\alpha_{j}(\overline{x}_{n+1}) = \delta_{ij}, j = 0, ..., t - 1; i = 0, ..., t - 1$$

$$h_{n}\beta_{j}(\overline{x}_{n+1}) = 0, j = 0, ..., m - 1; i = 0, ..., t - 1$$

$$(4)$$

$$h_{n}^{2}\lambda_{j}(\overline{x}_{n+1}) = 0, j = 0, ..., m - 1; i = 0, 1, ..., t - 1,$$

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	t	SDETR <sub>2</sub> s with	SDETR <sub>2</sub> s with	MSRM
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		GBDF k= 3	GBDF $k=5$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	y1(t)			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	-3.85711	-3.85711	-3.85711
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	-0.33729	-0.33729	-0.33729
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	0.12630	0.12630	0.12630
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	24	0.05091	0.05091	0.05091
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	-2.55034	-2.55034	-2.55034
$\begin{array}{r c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	38	-3.93154	-3.93154	-3.93154
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	y2(t)			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	-5.66683	-5.66683	-5.66683
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	-0.49554	-0.49554	-0.49554
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	0.18555	0.18555	0.18555
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	0.07480	0.07480	0.07480
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	-3.74694	-3.74694	-3.74694
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38	-5.77619	-5.77619	-5.77619
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	y3(t)			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	-5.20445	-5.20445	-5.20445
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	-0.49104	-0.49104	-0.49104
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	0.15550	0.15550	0.15550
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	0.19500	0.19500	0.19500
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	-0.79819	-0.79819	-0.79819
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38	-5.33693	-5.33693	-5.33693
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	y4(t)			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	-7.64635	-7.64635	-7.64635
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	-0.72144	-0.72144	-0.72144
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	0.22846	0.22846	0.22846
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	0.28649	0.28649	0.28649
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	-1.17270	-1.17270	-1.17270
y5(t) 3 15.05932 15.05932 15.05932 10 10.73663 10.73663 10.73663 17 14.25582 14.25582 14.25582 24 19.33844 19.33844 19.33844 31 25.34856 25.34856 25.34856 38 14.98250 14.98250 14.98250	38	-7.84098	-7.84098	-7.84098
315.0593215.0593215.059321010.7366310.7366310.736631714.2558214.2558214.255822419.3384419.3384419.338443125.3485625.3485625.348563814.9825014.9825014.98250	y5(t)			
10         10.73663         10.73663         10.73663           17         14.25582         14.25582         14.25582           24         19.33844         19.33844         19.33844           31         25.34856         25.34856         25.34856           38         14.98250         14.98250         14.98250	3	15.05932	15.05932	15.05932
1714.2558214.2558214.255822419.3384419.3384419.338443125.3485625.3485625.348563814.9825014.9825014.98250	10	10.73663	10.73663	10.73663
2419.3384419.3384419.338443125.3485625.3485625.348563814.9825014.9825014.98250	17	14.25582	14.25582	14.25582
3125.3485625.3485625.348563814.9825014.9825014.98250	24	19.33844	19.33844	19.33844
<u>38 14.98250 14.98250 14.98250</u>	31	25.34856	25.34856	25.34856
	38	14.98250	14.98250	14.98250

Table 2. Comparison between SDETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF k=5 and MSRM using Problem 2 with  $h=10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-5}$ .

$$\alpha'_{j}(\bar{x}_{i}) = 0, j = 0, ..., t - 1; i = 0, ..., m - 1$$
$$h_{n}\beta'_{j}(\bar{x}_{i}) = \delta_{ij}, j = 0, ..., m - 1; i = 0, ..., m - 1$$
(5)

$$h_n^2 \lambda_j'(\overline{x}_i) = 0, j = 0, ..., m - 1; i = 0, 1, ..., m - 1,$$

and

$$\alpha_{j}^{''}(\overline{x}_{i}) = 0, j = 0, ..., t - 1; i = 0, ..., m - 1$$

$$h_{n}\beta_{j}^{''}(\overline{x}_{i}) = 0, j = 0, ..., m - 1; i = 0, ..., m - 1$$

$$h_{n}^{2}\lambda_{j}^{''}(\overline{x}_{i}) = \delta_{ij}, j = 0, ..., m - 1; i = 0, 1, ..., m - 1,$$
(6)

where  $\overline{x}_j$ , j = 0, 1, ..., m-1 are the m distinct collocation points used and t,  $0 < t \le k$  the number of interpolation points and equation (4) – (5) can be written in matrix form as:

$$DC = 1, (7)$$

where *I* is the identity matrix of dimension  $(t + m) \times (t + m)$  while *D* and *C* are matrices defined as:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \dots & x_n^{t+m-1} \\ 1 & x_{n+1} & x_{n+1}^2 & \dots & x_{n+1}^{t+m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n+t-1} & x_{n+t-1}^2 & \dots & x_{n+t-1}^{t+m-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 2\overline{x_0} & \dots & (t+m-1)x_0^{t+m-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 2\overline{x_{m-1}} & \dots & (t+m-2)(t+m-1)\overline{x_{m-1}^{t+m-3}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \dots & (t+m-2)(t+m-1)\overline{x_{m-1}^{t+m-3}}, \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(8)$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{0,1} & \alpha_{1,1} & \dots & \alpha_{t-1,1} & h_n \beta_{0,1} & \dots & h_n \beta_{m-1,1} & h_n^2 \lambda_{0,1} & \dots & h_n^2 \lambda_{m-1,1} \\ \alpha_{0,2} & \alpha_{1,2} & \dots & \alpha_{t-1,2} & h_n \beta_{0,2} & \dots & h_n \beta_{m-1,2} & h_n^2 \lambda_{0,2} & \dots & h_n^2 \lambda_{m-1,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{0,t+m} & \alpha_{1,t+m} & \dots & \alpha_{t-1,t+m} & h_n \beta_{0,t+m} & \dots & h_n \beta_{m-1,t+m} & h_n^2 \lambda_{m-1,t+m} & \dots & h_n^2 \lambda_{m-1,t+m} \end{pmatrix}$$
(9)

It follows from equation (7) the columns of  $C = D^{-1}$ , give the elements of the continuous coefficients  $\alpha_j(x)$ ,  $\beta_j(x)$ , and  $\lambda_j(x)$  of the continuous scheme (Equation 3).

2.2. DERIVATION OF CONTINUOUS SECOND DERIVATIVE CONVENTIONAL FORMS OF EXTENDED TRAPEZOIDAL RULES OF THE SECOND KIND BLENDED WITH GENERALIZED BACKWARD DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAE (SDETR<sub>2</sub>S BLENDED WITH GBDF) FOR K = 3 AND 5

As defined in Refs. [6, 7], a second derivative  $ETR_{2}s$  blended with GBDF is defined as:

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j(x) y_{n+j} + h_n [\beta_v(x) f_{n+\nu-1} + \beta_v(x) f_{n+\nu}] + h_n^2 \lambda_u(x) g_{n+u},$$
(10)

	t	SDETR <sub>2</sub> s with	SDETR <sub>2</sub> s with	ODE 45
		GBDF k= 3	GBDF $k=5$	
y1(t)	)			
	2	-5.746066	-5.746066	-
				5.746695
	4	0.704068	0.704068	0.703867
	6	4.772807	4.772807	4.772186
	8	-1.656413	-1.656413	-
				1.656500
	10	1.421949	1.421949	1.421949
y2(t)	)			
	2	-0.686392	-0.686392	-
				0.686321
	4	0.251313	0.251313	0.251329
	6	1.608418	1.608418	1.608104
	8	-0.273639	-0.273639	-
				0.273534
	10	0.817538	0.817538	0.817270
y3(t)	)			
	2	4.752034	4.752034	4.752228
	4	4.091915	4.091915	4.092114
	6	3.071328	3.071328	3.071401
	8	4.143334	4.143334	4.143532
	10	2.578950	2.578950	2.579065
y4(t)	)			
	2	0.586958	0.586958	0.586801
	4	-5.1664589	-5.1664589	-
				5.166404
	6	-16.184737	-16.184737	-
				16.184383
	8	-3.211817	-3.211817	-
				3.211877
	10	8.694178	8.694178	8.694357
	-			

Table 3. Comparison between SDETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF k=3, 5 with  $h = 10^{-1} to 10^{-5}$  and ODE 45 using Problem 3.

where,

$$v = \left[ \left[ \frac{k+1}{2} \right] \right], and [[]], \tag{11}$$

is the greatest integer function.

CASE k =3: Letting v = u = 2 and equation (10) becomes;

$$y(x) = \alpha_0(x)y_n + \alpha_1(x)y_{n+1} + h_n[\beta_1(x)f_{n+1} + \beta_2(x)f_{n+2}] + h_n^2\lambda_2(x)g_{n+2}.$$
 (12)

Computing the inverse of the D matrix obtained from equation (12) using Maple 18 and from equation 4 to 6 we obtain the continuous coefficients with  $\eta = (x - x_n)$  as follows:

$$\alpha_0(\eta + x_n) = \frac{1}{8h_n^4} [\eta^4 - 7h_n\eta^3 + 18h_n^2\eta^2 - 20h_n^3\eta + 8h_n^4]$$
  
$$\alpha_1(\eta + x_n) = \frac{1}{h_n^4} [-\eta^4 + 6h_n\eta^3 - 12h_n^2\eta^2 + 8h_n^3\eta - 8h_n^4]$$



**Figure 1.** The region of absolute stability of the methods in Eq. (14) and Eq. (16).

$$\beta_1(\eta + x_n) = \frac{1}{4h_n^4} [7\eta^4 - 41h_n\eta^3 + 78h_n^2\eta^2 - 44h_n^3\eta - 44h_n^4]$$
  
$$\beta_2(\eta + x_n) = \frac{1}{4h_n^3} [-3\eta^4 + 17\eta^3 - 30h_n\eta^2 + 16h_n^2\eta - 16h_n^3]. \quad (13)$$

The continuous scheme is evaluated at  $\eta = 3h_n$  and its first derivative at  $\eta = (0, 3h_n)$  to have the following three discrete schemes.

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{5}{28}h_n^2g_{n+2} - \frac{1}{56}h_nf_{n+3} - \frac{9}{14}h_nf_{n+2} - \frac{23}{56}h_nf_{n+1} + \frac{29}{28}y_{n+2} - \frac{1}{28}y_n$$

$$y_{n+2} = -\frac{2}{23}h_n^2g_{n+2} + \frac{12}{23}h_nf_{n+2} + \frac{16}{23}h_nf_{n+1} + \frac{2}{23}h_nf_n + \frac{16}{23}y_{n+1} + \frac{7}{23}y_n$$

$$y_{n+3} = 3h_n^2 g_{n+2} - 9h_n f_{n+2} - 6h_n f_{n+1} + \frac{33}{2}h_n f_{n+1} + \frac{29}{28}y_{n+2} - \frac{1}{28}y_n.$$
(14)

CASE k = 5: Letting, v = u = 3 and equation (10) becomes:

$$y(x) = \alpha_0(x)y_n + \alpha_1(x)y_{n+1} + \alpha_2(x)y_{n+2} + h_n[\beta_2(x)f_{n+2} + \beta_3(x)f_{n+3}] + h_n^2\lambda_3(x)g_{n+3}.$$
 (15)

In the same way, the continuous scheme is evaluated at  $\eta = 5h_n$  and its first derivative at  $\eta = (0, h_n, 3h_n, 5h_n)$  to have the following five discrete schemes.

$$y_{n+1} = \frac{6}{17}h_n^2g_{n+3} - \frac{36}{17}h_nf_{n+4} - \frac{28}{17}h_nf_{n+3} - \frac{6}{17}h_nf_{n+1} - \frac{1}{17}y_{n+4} + \frac{163}{51}y_{n+3} - \frac{36}{17}y_{n+2} - \frac{1}{51}y_n,$$



**Figure 2.** Comparison of the phase space between the SDETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF for k=3 with  $h = 10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-5}$  and ODE 45 for Problem 1.

$$y_{n+2} = \frac{187}{906}h_n^2g_{n+3} + \frac{1}{906}h_n^2g_{n+2} - \frac{793}{1359}h_nf_{n+3} - \frac{197}{453}h_nf_{n+2} - \frac{157}{1812}y_{n+4} + \frac{18589}{16308}y_{n+3} - \frac{101}{1812}y_{n+1} + \frac{41}{16308}y_n,$$

$$y_{n+3} = -\frac{6}{49}h_n^2g_{n+3} + \frac{26}{49}h_nf_{n+4} + \frac{27}{49}h_nf_{n+3} - \frac{1}{98}h_nf_n + \frac{9}{392}y_{n+4} + \frac{81}{98}y_{n+2} + \frac{9}{49}y_{n+1} - \frac{13}{392}y_{n+3}$$

$$\begin{split} y_{n+4} &= \frac{48}{55} h_n^2 g_{n+3} + \frac{12}{55} h_n f_{n+4} - \frac{16}{11} h_n f_{n+3} - \\ & \frac{72}{55} h_n f_{n+2} + \frac{728}{165} y_{n+3} - \frac{36}{11} y_{n+2} - \frac{8}{55} y_{n+1} - \frac{1}{165} y_n, \end{split}$$

$$y_{n+5} = -60h_n^2g_{n+3} + 160h_nf_{n+3} + 120h_nf_{n+2} + 30y_{n+4} - \frac{970}{3}y_{n+3} + 280y_{n+2} - 15y_{n+1} - \frac{2}{3}y_n.$$
 (16)

#### 3. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

In this section, the order, error constants, consistency and zero stability of the derived discrete schemes will be analyzed.

### 3.1. ORDER AND ERROR CONSTANT

The order and error constant of the discrete schemes in equation(14) and (16) are obtained or carried out in block form. Following Ref. [8] and Ref. [9], the local truncation error associated with the k-step second derivative multistep method (Equation 2) is the linear difference operator L defined as:

$$L[y(x):h] = \sum_{i=0}^{k=0} \{\alpha_j y(x+jh_n) - h_n \beta_i y'(x+jh_n) - h_n^2 \beta_i y'(x+jh_n) \}, \quad (17)$$

where y(x) is an arbitrary function, continuously differentiable on [a, b]. Expanding  $y(x + jh_n)$  and its derivative  $y'(x + jh_n)$  as Taylor series about x, and collecting terms in equation (17) give;

$$L[y(x):h_n] = C_0 Z(x) + C_1 h_n Z^1(x) + \dots + C_q h_n Z^q(x) + \dots, (18)$$

where the constant  $C_q$ , q=0, 1, ... are given as:

$$C_{0} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j}$$

$$C_{1} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} j\alpha_{j} - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j}$$

$$C_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} j\alpha_{j} - \sum_{j=0}^{k} j\beta_{j} - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda_{j}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$C_{q} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=0}^{k} j^{q} \alpha_{j} - \frac{1}{(q-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{k} j^{q-1} \beta_{j} - \frac{1}{(q-2)!} \sum_{j=0}^{k} j^{q-2} \lambda_{j}, q = 3, 4, ...$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(19)$$

The method in equation (14) expressed in the form of equation (17) produces the values of the continuous coefficients of the method as:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= \left(\frac{1}{28}, -\frac{7}{23}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \alpha_1 = \left(1, -\frac{16}{23}, 15\right), \alpha_2 = \left(-\frac{29}{28}, 1, -\frac{33}{2}\right), \\ \alpha_3 &= (0, 0, 1), \beta_0 = \left(0, \frac{2}{23}, 0\right), \beta_1 = \left(-\frac{23}{56}, \frac{16}{23}, -6\right), \\ \beta_2 &= \left(-\frac{9}{14}, \frac{12}{23}, -9\right), \beta_3 = \left(-\frac{1}{56}, 0, 0\right), \lambda_2 = \left(\frac{5}{28}, -\frac{2}{23}, 3\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$(20)$$

Substituting these values of the continuous coefficients in equation (20) into equation (19), we have:

$$C_{0} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{2} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3}) - (\beta_{0} + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \beta_{3}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{1} = (\alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1} + 2\alpha_{2} + 3\alpha_{3}) - (\beta_{0} + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \beta_{3}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{3} = \frac{1}{6}(\alpha_{1} + 2^{3}\alpha_{2} + 3^{3}\alpha_{3}) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_{1} + 2^{2}\beta_{2} + 3^{2}\beta_{3}) - (\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{3} = \frac{1}{6}(\alpha_{1} + 2^{3}\alpha_{2} + 3^{3}\alpha_{3}) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_{1} + 2^{2}\beta_{2} + 3^{2}\beta_{3}) - (\lambda_{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{4} = \frac{1}{24}(\alpha_{1} + 2^{4}\alpha_{2} + 3^{4}\alpha_{3}) - \frac{1}{6}(\beta_{1} + 2^{3}\beta_{2} + 3^{3}\beta_{3}) - \frac{1}{2}(2^{2}\lambda_{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{5} = \frac{1}{120}(\alpha_{1} + 2^{5}\alpha_{2} + 3^{5}\alpha_{3}) - \frac{1}{24}(\beta_{1} + 2^{4}\beta_{2} + 3^{4}\beta_{3}) - \frac{1}{6}(2^{3}\lambda_{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_{6} = \frac{1}{720}(\alpha_{1} + 2^{6}\alpha_{2} + 3^{6}\alpha_{3}) - \frac{1}{120}(\beta_{1} + 2^{5}\beta_{2} + 3^{5}\beta_{3}) - \frac{1}{24}(2^{4}\lambda_{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{13}{10080}\\\frac{1}{1035}\\\frac{1}{60} \end{bmatrix},$$
(2)

solving gives equation (21)  $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = C_4 = C_4 = 0$  but  $C_6 \neq 0$  that is  $C_{p+2} \neq 0$  which is the error constant of the method and  $C_{p+2}h^{p+2}y^{p+2}(x_n)$  is the principal local truncation error at the point  $x_n$ . Thus the local truncation error (LTE) of the method is written as:

$$LTE = C_{p+2}h^{p+2}y^{p+2}(x_n) + O(h^{p+3}).$$
(22)

Using equation (17) – (20), method equation (14) is of uniform order [5, 5, 5] <sup>*T*</sup> and with the following error constant  $\left[\frac{13}{10080}, \frac{1}{1035}, \frac{1}{60}\right]^{T}$ . Similarly, the order and error constant of the block method equation (16) is carried out following the same producer as in case k=3. Therefore, equation (16) is of order p = 7 and error constant  $\left[\frac{1}{4760}, -\frac{31}{217440}, -\frac{3}{27440}, -\frac{1}{3850}, \frac{1}{28}\right]^{T}$ .

## 3.2. CONSISTENCY

All the methods in equation (14) and (16) have their orders greater than one, so as in Ref. [10], the methods are consistent.

#### 3.3. ZERO STABILITY

The zero stability of the discrete schemes in Eq. (14) is determined in block forms using the approach in Ref. [9]. The method Eq. (14) is represented as a single block r-point multistep method of the form:

$$\theta^{(1)}Y_{u+1} = \theta^{(0)}Y_u + h_u\delta^{(1)}F_u + h_u^2\tau^{(1)}G_u,$$

#### where,

$$Y_{u+1} = (y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3})^T,$$
  

$$Y_u = (y_{n-3}, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})^T,$$
  

$$F_{u+1} = (f_{n+1}, f_{n+2}, f_{n+3})^T,$$
  

$$G_{u+1} = (g_{n+1}, g_{n+2}, g_{n+3})^T,$$
  
(23)

for u=0, ... and n=0, 1, 2, 3, ..., N-3, and the matrices  $\theta^{(1)}, \delta^{(1)}, \tau^{(1)}$  are 3 by 3 matrices whose entries are given by the coefficients of Eq. (16).

#### 3.4. DEFINITION (ZERO STABILITY)

A block LMM is said to be zero stable provided the roots  $R_{i,j}$ , i, j=1,...,k of the first characteristic polynomial  $\rho$  (R):

$$\rho(R) = \det\left[\sum_{j=0}^{k} A^{(j)} R^{k-1}\right] = 0,$$
(24)

satisfy  $|R| \le 1$ , and for those roots with |R| = 1, the multiplicity does not exceed two.

The block method Eq. (14) written in the form of Eq. (24) gives the characteristic polynomial of the method as:

$$\rho(R) = (R^2 - R)R = (R^3 - R^2)$$
  
 
$$R(R^2 - R) = 0,$$

which implies,

1)

$$R_1 = 1, R_2 = R_3 = 0.$$

Therefore, the block method in Eq. (14) in Eq. (24) and hence is zero stable in Ref. [10]. Following the procedure as in Eq. (14), we determined the zero stability of the block method Eq. (16). Also, is zero-stable in Ref. [10].

#### 3.5. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

The block methods Eq. (14) and Eq. (16) are all convergent in Ref. [10], since they are both consistent and zero-stable.

#### 3.6. STABILITY ANALYSIS

In this section, the stability of the derived methods in Eq. (14) and Eq. (16) are investigated as:



**Figure 3.** Comparison of phase portraits for problem 1 between SDETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF for k=3 with  $h = 10^{-1} to 10^{-5}$  and ODE 45.

where,

$$\begin{split} \Psi &= \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -\frac{29}{28} & 0 \\ -\frac{16}{23} & 1 & 0 \\ 15 & -\frac{33}{2} & 1 \end{array} \right|, \\ \Phi &= \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{28} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right|, \\ \Sigma &= \left| \begin{array}{c} -\frac{23}{56} & -\frac{9}{14} & -\frac{1}{56} \\ -\frac{16}{23} & \frac{12}{23} & 0 \\ -6 & -9 & 0 \end{array} \right|, \\ \Omega &= \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right|, \\ \Gamma &= \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \frac{5}{28} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{23} & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{array} \right|, \\ \Pi &= \left| \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right|, \end{split}$$

The elements of the matrices  $\Psi$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $\Pi$ , are substituted into the characteristic equation of Eq. (25) in Maple Software.

$$N(\gamma) = \det \left| \gamma (\Psi - \Sigma \chi - \Gamma \chi^2) - \Phi - \Omega \chi - \Pi \chi^2 \right| = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(1+\frac{23}{56}\chi) & \gamma(-\frac{29}{28}+\frac{9}{14}\chi-\frac{5}{28}\chi^2) & \frac{1}{56}\gamma\chi+\frac{1}{28}\\ \gamma(-\frac{16}{23}-\frac{16}{23}\chi) & \gamma(1-\frac{12}{23}\chi+\frac{2}{23}\chi^2) & -\frac{7}{23}-\frac{2}{23}\chi\\ \gamma(15+6\chi) & \gamma(-\frac{33}{2}+9\chi-3\chi^2) & \gamma+\frac{1}{2}\chi \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Solving, we obtain the stability polynomial,

$$N(\gamma) = -\frac{45}{161}\gamma^2 - \frac{9}{23}\gamma^2\chi - \frac{153}{644}\gamma^2\chi^2 - \frac{51}{644}\gamma^3\chi^2 - \frac{72}{161}\gamma^3\chi + \frac{9}{28}\gamma^3\chi^2 - \frac{9}{644}\gamma^4\chi^4 + \frac{9}{322}\gamma^3\chi^4 + \frac{45}{161}\gamma^3.$$
 (26)

Differentiating Eq. (26) with respect to  $\chi$  we obtain the derivative as:

$$N(\gamma) = -\frac{9}{23}\gamma^2 - \frac{153}{322}\gamma^2\chi - \frac{153}{644}\gamma^2\chi^2 - \frac{72}{161}\gamma^3 + \frac{9}{14}\gamma^3\chi - \frac{9}{23}$$
$$\gamma^3\chi^2 - \frac{9}{161}\gamma^2\chi^3 + \frac{18}{161}\gamma^3\chi^3. \quad (27)$$



**Figure 4.** Comparison of phase space between the SDETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF blue line with  $h = 10^{-1}to10^{-5}$  and ODE 45 black line for Problem 2.



**Figure 5.** Comparison of phase portraits for problem 2 between SDETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF for k=5 blue line with  $h = 10^{-1}to10^{-5}$  and ODE 45 black line.

Then, Eq. (27) is substituted into MATLAB code to plot the regions of absolute stability for methods Eq. (14) and Eq. (16) are shown in Figure 1.

### 4. IMPLEMENTATION

## 4.1. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, some real-life problems with complex hyperchaotic behaviours are solved numerically using our new meth-



**Figure 6.** Comparison of phase space of Problem 3 between SDETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF for k=3 Magenta line and  $h = 10^{-1}to10^{-5}$  and ODE 45 black line.

ods and results compared with those obtained from existing method in literature and the Matlab ODEs solvers. We considered the following problems in Refs. [11-13].

### 4.1.1. Example 1: Hyperchaotic Lorenz system

The hyperchaotic complex Lorenz system was derived in Ref. [11] when modeling two-dimensional fluid cell between two parallel plates at different temperatures.

$$\begin{aligned} y'_1 &= a(y_3 - y_1) \\ y'_2 &= a(y_4 - y_2) + y_6 \\ y'_3 &= by_1 - y_3 - y_1y_5 \\ y'_4 &= by_2 - y_4 - y_2y_5 + y_6 \\ y'_5 &= -y_1y_3 + y_2y_4 - cy_5 \\ y'_6 &= y_1y_3 + y_2y_4 - dy_6, \end{aligned}$$

where, a=15, b=36, c=4.5, d=12,  $y_1(0)=12$ ,  $y_2(0)=10$ ,  $y_3(0)=2$ ,  $y_4(0)=7$ ,  $y_5(0)=9$ ,  $y_6(0)=10$ , for  $t \in [0, 10]$  and  $h=10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-5}$ .

## 4.1.2. Example 2: Hyperchaotic Permanent Magnet Synchronous

State equations of hyperchaotic permanent magnet synchronous motor system in a field-oriented motor can be described by the following system in Ref. [12]:

where, a=11, b=20,  $y_1(0)=1, y_2(0)=2, y_3(0)=3, y_4(0)=4, y_2(0)=5$  for t  $\in [0, 40]$  and h=10<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>-5</sup>.



**Figure 7.** Comparison of phase portraits of Problem 3 between SDETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF for k=5 with  $h = 10^{-1} to 10^{-5}$  and ODE 45.

## 4.1.3. Example 3: Hyperchaotic Qi system

We consider the hyperchaotic Qi dynamics in Ref. [13] described by:

$$y'_{1} = a(y_{2} - y_{1}) + \psi y_{2}y_{3}$$
  

$$y'_{2} = cy_{1} - dy_{1}y_{3} + y_{2} + y_{4}$$
  

$$y'_{3} = y_{1}y_{2} - by_{3}$$
  

$$y'_{4} = -\varphi y_{2},$$

where, a=35, b=4.9, c=25, d=5,  $\psi$ =35,  $\varphi$ =22, y<sub>1</sub>(0)=10, y<sub>2</sub>(0)=4, y<sub>3</sub>(0)=8, y<sub>4</sub>(0)=12, for t \in [0, 10] and h=10<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>-5</sup>.

#### 4.2. RESULTS

#### 4.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, we present the results for the regions plotted and numerical results of our new methods  $SDETR_{2}s$  with GBDF for k = 3 and 5, to solve three hyperchaotic problems. From Figure 1, the regions are all A-stable, hence, the methods are suitable for solving hyperchaotic ODEs. The value of h was varied between 0.1 and 0.00001 in Ref. [10] and 0.1 and 0.0001 in Refs. [11, 13]. The accuracy level used in this work is six decimal places. The results of our newly derived method are compared with existing method in literature and MATLAB in-built solvers for IVPs of ODEs; in particular, the routine ODE 45 was used. By existence and uniqueness theorem 1, trajectories cannot merge or cross, the strange attractors are all unique. The entire phase space and phase portrait are in agreement with each other.

### 5. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have constructed SDETR<sub>2</sub>s blended with GBDF for k = 3 and k = 5 with variable step size for solving nonlinear IVPs of ODEs with hyperchaotic properties. The methods derived are convergent and A-stable. The new block methods are self-starting, hence eliminating the use of starting values. From the result of the numerical simulations carried out in Refs. [10, 12, 13], the methods are efficient and attractive for solving hyperchaotic, other nonlinear and linear ODEs as displaced by numerical results in the tables, time series and phase portrait solution curves compared with MATLAB ODEs in-built in solver. The step size was varied to overcome the claim in Ref. [14].

Table 1 presents a comparison between the SDETR<sub>2</sub>s with GBDF and the MSRM for Problem 1 at selected values of time points (t). Figures 2 and 3 display the corresponding time series (phase space) graphs and phase portraits, which demonstrate a strong agreement between the SDETR<sub>2</sub>s with GBDF for k=3 and ODE 45.

Table 2 compares the numerical results of our new method with those of ODE 45 for the problem 2, revealing good agreement between the two methods as time (t) progresses. Figure 4 compares the SDETR<sub>2</sub>s with GBDF for k=3 and ODE 45 time series for Problem 2, exhibiting good agreement. Figure 5 displays the corresponding phase portraits, which demonstrate identical results from numerical simulations using our new method and the ODE solver.

Table 3 presents a comparison between the results of our new method and ODE 45 for all the dependent variables of the Qisystem at selected time values (t). The good agreement between the SDETR<sub>2</sub>s with GBDF and ODE 45 solver results, as seen in Figures 6 and 7, confirms the validity of the proposed new scheme approach for solving nonlinear and hyperchaotic systems. The time series plots and phase portraits for the Qi-system shown in these Figure demonstrate the accuracy of the SDETR<sub>2</sub>s with GBDF for k=3 and ODE 45 method compared to other methods.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We wish to acknowledge God for all his inspirations and Late Oche Felix.

#### References

- [1] O. E. Rössler, "An equation for continuous chaos", Physics Letters 57 (1976) 397. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(76)90101-8.
- [2] S. S. Motsa, P. Dlamini & M. Khumalo, "A new multistage spectral relax-

ation method for solving chaotic initial value systems", Nonlinear Dynamic **72** (2012) 265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-012-0712-8.

- [3] S. Motsa, "A new piecewise-quasi linearization method for solving chaotic systems of initial value problems", Central European Journal of Physics 10 (2012) 936. https://doi.10.2478/s11534-011-0124-2.
- [4] S. S. Motsa, Y. Khan & S. Shateyi, "Application of piecewise successive linearization method for the solutions of the Chen chaotic system", Journal of Applied Mathematics 2012 (2012) 258948. https://doi.org/10.1155/ 2012/258948.
- [5] H. M. Nour, A. Elsaid & A. Elsonbaty, "Comparing the multistage and PADE techniques for iterative methods in solving nonlinear food chain model", Mathematics and Computer Science 2 (2012) 810. https://scik.org/ index.php/jmcs/article/view/163/0.
- [6] P. Onumanyi, U. W. Sirisena & S. N. Jator, "Continuous finite difference approximations for solving differential equations", International Journal of Computer Mathematics 72 (1999) 15. https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/ 00207169908804831.
- [7] L. Brugnano & D. Trigiante, Solving differential problems by multistep initial and boundary value methods, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 1998, pp. 153–154. https://api.semanticscholar. org/CorpusID:118368291.
- [8] S. O. Fatunal, "Block Method for second order differential equations", International Journal of Computer Mathematics 41 (1991) 55. https://dx.doi. org/10.1080/00207169108804026.
- J. D. Lambert, Computational methods in ordinary differential equations, John Willey and Sons, New York, USA, 1973, pp. 523-523. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/zamm.19740540726.
- [10] S. O. Fatunla, "Numerical integrators for stiff and highly oscillatory differential equations", Journal of Mathematical Computation 34 (1980) 373. https://doi.org/10.2307/2006091.
- [11] J. Yu, B. Chen, H. Yu & J. Gao, "Adaptive fuzzy tracking control for the chaotic permanent magnet synchronous motor derive system via backstepping", Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications 34 (2011) 671. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2010.07.009.
- [12] F. Zhang, C. Mu, X. Wang, I. Ahmed & Y. Shu, "Solution bounds of a new complex PMSM system", Nonlinear Dynamics 74 (2013) 1041. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11071-013-1022-5.
- [13] Z. Chen, Y. Yang, G. QI & Z. Yuan, "A novel by hyperchaos system only with one equilibrium", Physics Letters A 360 (2007) 696. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.physleta.2006.08.085.
- [14] L. S. Yao, "Computed chaos or numerical errors", Nonlinear Analysis Modelling and Control 15 (2010) 109. https://doi.org/10.15388/NA.2010. 15.1.14368.